



Part input[16], float output[16]) Float temp, out0, out1, out2, out3, out4, out Put6, out7, out8, fl Presentation Formal Verification of Real-Time applications based on the Logical-Execution Time paradigm

output[0] CIFRE PhD at Krono-Safe and i */ output[8]=output[0]-outl-outl; /*Real Part oUniversite Côte d'Azur, Inria, Kairosnf("%f %f #define SIN_2PL-16 0.38268343236508978#define SIN_ September 20, 2021

Context

- Our context:
 - Safety-critical and real-time systems
 - Targets parallel platforms (multicore...)
- How to formally ensure that the temporal design satisfies its temporal requirements?
 - **Examples:**
 - Latencies
 - Safety properties (e.g. temporal exclusion)



Multiform Logical Time

- Give logical abstraction to real-time durations
 - Such durations are not known during early design phases
 - They might be target dependent
- Examples:
 - A temporal constraint might be in a time in ms
 - ... or in a time that depends of the speed of a wheel



Synchronous and LET models

- Synchronous model:
 - Temporally deterministic and concurrent
 - Very expressive and well suited for formal verification
 - Complex to compile (parallel platforms)
- Logical Execution Time (LET):
 - Temporally deterministic and concurrent
 - Easier to compile (due to logical durations)







September 20, 2021

Δ

The PsyC language

- Produced by Krono-Safe, dedicated to safety-critical realtime software integration
- Implement a variation of the LET paradigm that we call synchronous LET (sLET)
- Extension of the C language:
 - Declare logical clocks
 - Declare agents (tasks that are run concurrently)
 - Express temporal behavior of agents through (s)LET intervals



Methodology

- 1. Synchronous translation
 - PsyC can be translated to Esterel
- 2. Properties modeling
 - Properties can be expressed using synchronous observers
- 3. Properties verification
 - At compile-time, using model-checking
 - At runtime, using runtime monitoring

